

Assem A. Abulkhair, Pro Se

P. O. Box 279

New York, New York 10163

E. faraunism@yahoo.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Assem A. Abulkhair,	:	District Case No.1:24-cv-02151
	:	USCA No. 24-1781
Plaintiff/Appellant,	:	Civil Action
v.	:	PRODUCE
The Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al,	:	UNCONTROVERTED
Defendants/ Respondents.	:	EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT
-----		OF THE PENDING APPEAL

I, Assem A. Abulkhair, the Plaintiff/Appellant in the above-captioned matter, hereby certify that the produce of the uncontroverted evidence in support of the pending appeal has been discovered through search on March 1, 2025 at 12:30 P.M. and has not been available at the time of submitting neither complaint nor appeal where the arbitrarily decision under appeal is cornered on the basis of "frivolous" or "irrational" of which the latter makes sense since no one could believe, that America would be dragged this far and beyond to this indecent low level to commit such an immorally heinous crime against its own citizens and humanity for which superseded the authoritarianism regimes' brutality and oppression around the world.

The evidence clearly is dealing with the heart of the issues and substances that was overlooked by the lower court to spare the corrupted government from its liability. The evidence there clarified what the biased court reluctant to accept "as true" in which [i]t [is] true [,] as the alphabetic of the standard of review is obligated the district court judge to abide by and adhere to in reviewing any new complaint whether drafted by *pro se* litigant or an attorney, which she miserably failed in this particular case.

For instance, the evidence loudly and clearly speaks of what the reluctantly and unprofessional refused to accept as reality, which ended up to be the Nevada cited as "The Electronic Torture Act" that emphasized TORTURE such as, "sleep deprivation," ptosis of the eyelids, irritable bowel syndrome, destroys the nervous system, respiratory system, muscular system and Neurological of a victim, as it is happening as still ongoing to damage the Appellant Abulkhair's entire health system.

It can cause nausea and a feeling of malaise, tinnitus, tremors, seizures, radiation which in return can cause cancer, destroy human tissue, cortical and autonomic consequences, heart attacks, depression, mania, anxiety, phobia, ear damage, auditory nerve damage, produces muscle spasms, damage to the auditory cortex, irrational behavior, and physical damage to organs. It also may perhaps penetrate to the vestibular organ with sufficient strength for stimulating the exquisitely sensitive vestibular hair cells. Nose Bleeds, Loss of balance, seizures,

and headaches, rectal bleeding are also symptoms that may occur due to the connection of the brain through the neural circuitry and central nervous system. To this extent, the Appellant victim Abulkhair has to deal with all of the above 24/7, second-by-second, and henceforth, the appellate court must accept the reality of the Electronic TORTURE Act as the law in the real world, and must [not] be the "delusional" and/or "paranoid" they tried to bogusly implicate and deceive the victim of electronic torture with it.

In Abulkhair Matter at hand here, we have a "Chief Judge" sitting on a bench of the one of major district courts in America denied a pro se litigant's motion to correct the spelling of his complaint to be acceptable for standard of review by either the district or the circuit to be afforded the opportunity and the right under due process to have his complaint and appeal adequately be review. How the appellate court judges and law clerks would have the opportunely to adequately review the issues and substances at hand before them in a misspelling complaint to keep guessting and thinking of which word and meaning is correctly intended and meant in each sentence. The wrong denial here does [NOT] serve the appellate court process, due process, or even the interest of justice that must be the polestar for all civilized, and [not] "Kangaroo judiciary" as it properly can be ended up here.

With all of that in mind, the Supreme Court holds that courts must generally accept uncontroverted evidence, with [no] exception to this Honorable Court to

reverse the district court that went far strayed into prohibited terrain to even denying this Court to conduct an adequate review of the appeal, not to mention, blocking the *pro se* from appealing its improper decisions on the conclusion of its "delusional" frivolous and failure to review a meritorious complaint, and thus, "The Electronic Torture Act" must govern over Abulkhair's case and the unlawful Electronic Torture must cease and desist by the Defendants/Respondents forthwith. This Honorable Court has supervisory power to direct the reversal to the jury to decide the proper award for damages and suffering since the government has no defense to defend this extraordinary and unparalleled matter in the history of the judicial systems anywhere.

Herewith please review the uncontroverted evidence link below to both the Electronic TORTURE Act and the brutal images stemmed from TORTURE to properly conduct an adequately review of our legitimate and meritorious appeal in this matter.

<https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/InterimCommittee/REL/Document/27234>

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1oAlVk0-aHFagG13uSseh3IA8xMZ1MR_Z?usp=drive_link

Thanking you for restoring the common sense into the judiciary, I remain,

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Assem A. Abulkhair, Pro Se

For The Plaintiff/Appellant

Dated: March 1, 2025

Assem A. Abulhair, Pro Se

P. O. Box 279

New York, New York 10163

E. faraunism@yahoo.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Assem A. Abulhair,	:	District Case No.1:24-cv-02151
	:	USCA No. 24-1781
Plaintiff/Appellant,	:	
v.	:	Civil Action
The Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al,	:	
Defendants/ Respondents.	:	PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Assem A. Abulhair, the Plaintiff/Appellant in the above-captioned matter, hereby certify that the produce of the uncontroverted evidence in support of the pending appeal had been served upon the Clerk of the Court electronically and the Defendants' attorneys by U.S. first class mail to: U.S. Attorney's Office Southern District of New York at:

1 Saint Andrews Plaza,
New York, New York 10007

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Assem A. Abulhair, Pro Se

For The Plaintiff/Appellant

Dated: March 1, 2025